## Solution to Midterm Examination No. 2

1. (a) We have the projection matrix onto the column space of  $A^T$  as

$$P = A^{T}(AA^{T})^{-1}A$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) The orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A}^T)$  is  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A})$ . Since the RRE form of  $\mathbf{A}$  is

$$\boldsymbol{R}_A = \left[ \begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

we can obtain that  $(-1, 0, 1)^T$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A})$ . As a result, we can have

$$\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{A}) = \{ \boldsymbol{x} : \boldsymbol{x} = x_3(-1, 0, 1)^T, \forall x_3 \in \mathcal{R} \}.$$

(c) From the projection matrix  $\boldsymbol{P}$  derived in (a), we can have

$$\boldsymbol{x}_r = \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

And hence

$$\boldsymbol{x}_n = \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_r = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 2\\ 3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 2\\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1\\ 0\\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(d) We have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & | & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & | & 3 \end{bmatrix} \Longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & | & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

A particular solution  $\boldsymbol{x}_p$  to  $\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b}$  can be given by

$$oldsymbol{x}_p = \left[ egin{array}{c} 3 \ -1 \ 0 \end{array} 
ight].$$

Then

$$egin{aligned} m{x}_r &= m{P}m{x}_p \ &= egin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \ 0 & 1 & 0 \ 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} 3 \ -1 \ 0 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 3/2 \ -1 \ 3/2 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

2. (a) Since  $x \in V \oplus W$ , we can have  $x = v_1 + w_1$  where  $v_1 \in V$  and  $w_1 \in W$ . Suppose there also exist  $v_2 \in V$  and  $w_2 \in W$  such that  $x = v_2 + w_2$ . Then we can obtain

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{x} &= oldsymbol{v}_1 + oldsymbol{w}_1 = oldsymbol{v}_2 + oldsymbol{w}_2 \ \implies oldsymbol{v}_1 - oldsymbol{v}_2 = oldsymbol{w}_2 - oldsymbol{w}_1. \end{aligned}$$

As  $v_1 - v_2 \in V$ ,  $w_2 - w_1 \in W$ , and  $V \cap W = \{0\}$ , we have  $v_1 - v_2 = w_2 - w_1 = 0$ . Therefore,  $v_1 = v_2$  and  $w_1 = w_2$ .

(b) Since (1, 1, 1) and (1, 0, 1) are linearly independent and span V, we have  $\dim(V) = 2$ . Given  $V \cap W = \{0\}$ , we have  $\dim(V \oplus W) = \dim(V) + \dim(W)$ . Hence  $\dim(W) = \dim(V \oplus W) - \dim(V) = \dim(\mathcal{R}^3) - \dim(V) = 3 - 2 = 1$ . Let  $\boldsymbol{w} = (w_1, w_2, w_3)$  be a basis for W, and it must be independent of (1, 0, 1) and (1, 1, 1). Let

$$m{A} = \left[ egin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 1 \ 1 & 1 & 1 \ w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \end{array} 
ight]$$

Then the RRE form for  $\boldsymbol{A}$  can be found as

$$oldsymbol{R}_A = \left[ egin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & w_3 - w_1 \end{array} 
ight].$$

For the three rows of  $\boldsymbol{A}$  to be independent,  $\boldsymbol{R}_A$  should have full rank, which implies  $w_3 - w_1 \neq 0$ . An example for  $\boldsymbol{w}$  can be given as  $\boldsymbol{w} = (1, 0, 0)$ , and W is the subspace spanned by  $\boldsymbol{w}$ .

**3.** (a) Let  $Q = [q_1 \ q_2 \ q_3]$  where

$$\boldsymbol{q}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1/5\\ 2/5\\ 2/5\\ 4/5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{q}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -2/5\\ 1/5\\ -4/5\\ 2/5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{q}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -4/5\\ 2/5\\ 2/5\\ -1/5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that Q has orthonormal columns. If  $a \neq 0$ ,  $\{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$  forms an orthonormal basis for C(A). If a = 0, then  $\{q_1, q_2\}$  can do the job.

(b) If a = 0, then  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})$  is spanned by  $\mathbf{q}_1$  and  $\mathbf{q}_2$  only, i.e., rank = 2

(c) The least squares solution satisfies  $A^T A \hat{x} = A^T b$ . We can then have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{x}} &= \mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{b} \\ \Rightarrow & (\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R})^{T} (\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R}) \hat{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R})^{T} \mathbf{b} \\ \Rightarrow & \mathbf{R}^{T} \mathbf{Q}^{T} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R} \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{R}^{T} \mathbf{Q}^{T} \mathbf{b} \\ \Rightarrow & \mathbf{R}^{T} \mathbf{R} \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{R}^{T} \mathbf{Q}^{T} \mathbf{b} \qquad (\because \mathbf{Q}^{T} \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{I}) \\ \Rightarrow & (\mathbf{R}^{T})^{-1} \mathbf{R}^{T} \mathbf{R} \hat{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{R}^{T})^{-1} \mathbf{R}^{T} \mathbf{Q}^{T} \mathbf{b} \qquad (\because \text{ For } a = 2, \mathbf{R}^{T} \text{ is invertible.}) \\ \Rightarrow & \mathbf{R} \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{Q}^{T} \mathbf{b} \\ \Rightarrow & \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/5 & 2/5 & 2/5 & 4/5 \\ -2/5 & 1/5 & -4/5 & 2/5 \\ -4/5 & 2/5 & 2/5 & -1/5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \Rightarrow & \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} -2/5 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

4. (a) Let  $f_1(x) = 1$ ,  $f_2(x) = x$ , and  $f_3(x) = x^2$ . By the Gram-Schmidt process, we can have:

(i) 
$$F_1(x) = f_1(x) = 1$$
,  $|| F_1(x) ||^2 = \langle F_1(x), F_1(x) \rangle = 2$   
 $\implies q_1(x) = \frac{F_1(x)}{|| F_1(x) ||} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ .  
(ii)  $F_2(x) = f_2(x) - \langle q_1(x), f_2(x) \rangle q_1(x) = x$ ,  $|| F_2(x) ||^2 = \frac{2}{3}$   
 $\implies q_2(x) = \frac{F_2(x)}{|| F_2(x) ||} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}x$ .  
(iii)  $F_3(x) = f_3(x) - \langle q_1(x), f_3(x) \rangle q_1(x) - \langle q_2(x), f_3(x) \rangle q_2(x) = x^2 - \frac{1}{3}$   
 $|| F_3(x) ||^2 = \frac{8}{45} \implies q_3(x) = \frac{F_3(x)}{|| F_3(x) ||} = \sqrt{\frac{45}{8}} \left(x^2 - \frac{1}{3}\right)$ .

Hence  $\{q_1(x), q_2(x), q_3(x)\}$  forms an orthonormal basis for the subspace spanned by 1, x, and  $x^2$ .

(b) Since

$$\langle q_1(x), 2x^2 \rangle = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}$$
$$\langle q_2(x), 2x^2 \rangle = 0$$
$$\langle q_3(x), 2x^2 \rangle = \frac{4\sqrt{10}}{15}$$

we can express  $2x^2$  as

$$2x^{2} = \langle q_{1}(x), 2x^{2} \rangle q_{1}(x) + \langle q_{2}(x), 2x^{2} \rangle q_{2}(x) + \langle q_{3}(x), 2x^{2} \rangle q_{3}(x)$$
  
$$= \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{4\sqrt{10}}{15} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{45}{8}} \left(x^{2} - \frac{1}{3}\right).$$

**5.** (a) Let

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Subtracting row 1 from all the other rows, we can have

$$\det \mathbf{A} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= (-1)^2 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 = 24.$$

(b) Let

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

•

Since the sum of the five rows of  $\boldsymbol{B}$  is an all-zero row, we can have det  $\boldsymbol{B}=0$ . (c) Let

$$\boldsymbol{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By the cofactor formula, we can have

$$\det \mathbf{C} = \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} = 3 \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} - 1 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= 3 \left\{ 3 \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} \right\} - \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= 8 \left\{ 3 \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} - 3 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} \right\}$$
$$= 8 \left\{ 3 \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} = 21 \left| \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} - 8 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 \end{vmatrix} \right\} - 3 \cdot \left| \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} = 21 \left| \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} - 8 \left| \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 \end{vmatrix} \right\} = 21 (3 \cdot 3 - 1 \cdot 1) - 8(1 \cdot 3) = 144.$$

**6.** (a) *False*.

Let  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then det  $\mathbf{A} = \det \mathbf{B} = 1$  and det $(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) = 4$ . Therefore, det $(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) \neq \det \mathbf{A} + \det \mathbf{B}$ .

(b) True.

Since the entries of A and  $A^{-1}$  are all integers, both det A and det  $A^{-1}$  are integers by the big formula. Also because det  $A \cdot \det A^{-1} = \det I = 1$ , both det A and det  $A^{-1}$  should be 1 or -1.

(c) True.

We can have

$$(\boldsymbol{A}^{-1})_{ij} = \frac{C_{ji}}{\det \boldsymbol{A}}$$

where  $C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det \mathbf{M}_{ij}$  and  $\mathbf{M}_{ij}$  is the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  submatrix of  $\mathbf{A}$  with row *i* and column *j* removed. Since all the entries of  $\mathbf{A}$  are integers, det  $\mathbf{M}_{ij}$  is an integer, and so is  $C_{ij}$ . Now because det  $\mathbf{A}$  is 1 or -1,  $(\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{ij}$  is always an integer.

(d) True.

Since  $A^k = O$  for some positive interger k, we have  $det(A^k) = (det A)^k = 0$ . It implies det A = 0, and thus A is singular.

7. (a) Applying the cofactor formula to the first row, we can have

$$\det \mathbf{A}_4 = 3 \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \end{vmatrix} - 2 \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= 3^4 - 2^4 = 65.$$

(b) Applying the cofactor formula to the first row, we can obtain the determinant as a combination of the determinant of an (n-1) by (n-1) lower triangular matrix and that of an (n-1) by (n-1) upper triangular matrix:

$$\det \mathbf{A}_{n} = \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2 & 3 \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 2 & 3 & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2 & 3 \end{vmatrix} + (-1)^{n+1} \cdot 2 \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 3 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & \ddots & \ddots & 3 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= 3^{n} + (-1)^{n+1} \cdot 2^{n}.$$