Stationary Point

f(x) One can use differential to spot the max/min of  $x_2$ , x a function s

10

df = 0

Furthemore, one can use Taylor expansion to

describe the vicinity near the stationary pt.

 $f(x) = f(x_0) + f(x_0) \Delta x \qquad (df = 0)$ 

 $+\frac{1}{2!}f'(x_{0})(\Delta x)^{2}+\cdots$ 

Here  $\Delta x = x - x_0$ . Near the stationary pt,

DX<<1, higher-order terms can be ignored.

f(x)>0 min  $\Delta f \approx \frac{1}{2!} f'(x_o) \Delta x^2$ f(x\_)<0 max

when  $f(x_0) = 0$ , it can be complicated is

## Stationary pt of multi-variable fr.

The vicinities of stationary points of a multi-variable fr f(x,y) are complicated.



min @ Basin. Max @ Peak

and Saddle pt

2。

Figure 5.2 Stationary points of a function of two variables. A minimum occurs at B, a maximum at P and a saddle point at S.

Riley, Hobson & Bence (3rd edition)

But, the differential works equally well.

Because dx, dy are arbitrary, it means

 $df = O - \Box \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \, dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \, dy = O$ 







# Stationary Points with constraints

5

Sometimes one needs to find the stationary pt of a fin flag) with some constraint glag)=0 The easiest way is usually the method of Lagrange multiplier & Complicate the problem by adding one more variable  $\lambda$  ? auxiliary variable  $f(x,y,\lambda) = f(x,y) + \lambda g(x,y)$ Then, write down the stationary conditions for  $f(x, y, \lambda)$ .  $df = 0 \longrightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dy + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} d\lambda = 0$ Because dx, dy, dr are arbitrary,  $\frac{\partial f^*}{\partial x} = 0 \quad - D \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \lambda \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} = 0$  $\frac{\partial f^*}{\partial y} = 0$   $- \nabla \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + \lambda \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = 0$ 





## Example revisited &

Making use of parametric angle O to eliminate

8

the constraint:  $x = a \cos \theta$ 

y = b sing

The angular variable O is free now !



#### = 4ab coso sino







### Geometric interpretation of $\lambda$

# Let's move into the 3D space. Without any constraint, the total differential is $df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dy + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} dz$ $= \overline{\nabla}f \cdot dr$ If the gradient is not zero, $\nabla f \neq 0$ , one can choose dr along with $\overline{\nabla} f$ so that df can increase or decrease. In consequence, it cannot be the local maximum nor the local minimum ?

9.

In short, the stationary pt requires df = 0

 $- \nabla \vec{f} \cdot d\vec{r} = 0 - \nabla \vec{\nabla} \vec{f} = 0$ 

arbitrary

What happens if dr is NOT arbitrary ?

# With the constraint $\phi(x,y,z) = 0$ , dr can

 $\frac{\nabla f}{\partial f} = 0 \quad \text{still holds }$ 

But, it leads to different criterion &

Note that  $\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ , so its differential

is also zero:  $d\phi = 0$ 

 $d\phi = \vec{\nabla}\phi \cdot d\vec{r} = 0 \qquad d\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\phi = 0$ 

The stationary condition now becomes

 $\overrightarrow{\nabla f} \cdot d\overrightarrow{r} = 0 + d\overrightarrow{r} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla \phi} = 0$ 

to  $\overrightarrow{\nabla}f$  cannot have any inplane component

thus,  $\vec{\nabla} f \parallel \vec{\nabla} \phi \rightarrow \vec{\nabla} f + \lambda \vec{\nabla} \phi = 0$ 

This is the geometric interpretation of the

Lagrange multiplier 2 0