

CONTENTS

中文摘要-----	
ABSTRACT-----	
誌謝-----	
CONTENTS-----	
LIST OF FIGURES-----	
LIST OF TABLES-----	XI
1. INTRODUCTION-----	1
1.1 Motivation-----	1
1.2 Literature Survey-----	3
1.3 Contributions of the Dissertation-----	5
1.4 Outline of the Contents-----	6
2. CONSTANT TORQUE LIMIT CONTROL STRATEGY FOR SMPMSM DRIVES-----	8
2.1 Introduction-----	8
2.2 Mathematical Model and Constraints of SMPMSM Drives-----	9
2.3 Classification of Operation Regions for Four-quadrant Operation-----	11
2.4 Constant Torque Limit Control Strategy and Experimental Results-----	18
3. THE PROPOSED ROBUST FIELD WEAKENING CONTROL STRATEGY FOR SMPMSM DRIVES-----	32
3.1 Introduction-----	32
3.2 The Proposed Field Weakening Control Strategy for SMPMSM Drives-----	33

3.3 Simulation Results-----	41
3.4 Implementation and Experimental Results-----	52
4. ROBUST SPEED CONTROL OF THE SMPMSM DRIVE-----	64
4.1 Introduction-----	64
4.2 Robust Speed Control via an Adaptive SMC Approach -----	65
4.3 Simulation Results -----	70
4.4 Experimental Results-----	79
5. CONCLUSIONS-----	85
REFERENCES-----	88



LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 2.1.	The cross section of a 4-pole and 24-slot SMPMSM.-----	14
Fig. 2.2.	The trajectories of the current limit curve and five voltage limit curves for ω_r on $i_{ds}-i_{qs}$ plane for a SMPMSM. (a) for positive speed; (b) for negative speed. -----	14
Fig. 2.3.	Trajectories of the available maximum torques in different regions (a) without neglecting R_s ; (b) neglecting R_s .-----	17
Fig. 2.4.	Block diagram of the adopted speed control system for a SMPMSM drive.-----	23
Fig. 2.5.	Block diagram of the adopted PI speed controller with antiwindup.--	24
Fig. 2.6.	Trajectories of ω_r^* , ω_r , i_{ds}^* , i_{ds} , i_{qs}^* , i_{qs} and $ v_{dq}^* $, for a step speed command from 0 rpm to +1600 rpm and adopt $i_{ds} = 0$ control strategy.--	25
Fig. 2.7.	Trajectories of ω_r^* , ω_r , i_{ds}^* , i_{ds} , i_{qs}^* , i_{qs} and $ v_{dq}^* $, for a step speed command from 0 rpm to +2200 rpm and adopt $i_{ds} = 0$ control strategy.--	26
Fig. 2.8.	Trajectories of ω_r^* , ω_r , i_{ds}^* , i_{ds} , i_{qs}^* , i_{qs} and $ v_{dq}^* $, for a step speed command from 0 rpm to +2200 rpm and adopt field weakening control strategy.-----	27
Fig. 2.9.	Trajectories of ω_r^* , ω_r , i_{ds} , i_{qs} , $I_{qs\max}$ and $I_{qs\min}$, for a periodic step speed command from -2400 rpm to +2400 rpm. -----	28
Fig. 2.10.	Trajectories of ω_r^* , ω_r , i_{ds} , i_{qs} , $I_{qs\max}$ and $I_{qs\min}$, for a periodic step speed command from +2400 rpm to -2400 rpm and without neglecting R_s .-----	29

Fig. 2.11. Trajectories of ω_r^* , ω_r , i_{ds} , i_{qs} , $I_{qs\max}$ and $I_{qs\min}$, for a periodic step speed command from +2400 rpm to -2400 rpm and with neglecting R_s .	30
Fig. 2.12. The corresponding maximum torque-speed characteristics for $i_{ds} = 0$ and field weakening control strategy.	31
Fig. 3.1. Block diagram of the speed control system of the SMPMSM drive	34
Fig. 3.2. Simulation trajectories of (a) V_{dc} , V_{smv} , i_{ds} and i_{qs} ; (b) ω_r , $ v_{dq}^* $, $ i_{dq} ^2$ and i_{du} , due to variation of V_{dc} and with V_{dc} sensor.	44
Fig. 3.3. Simulation trajectories of (a) V_{dc} , V_{smv} , i_{ds} and i_{qs} ; (b) ω_r , $ v_{dq}^* $, $ i_{dq} ^2$ and i_{du} , due to variation of V_{dc} and without V_{dc} sensor.	46
Fig. 3.4. Simulation results of (a) V_{smv} , ω_r , i_{ds} and i_{qs} ; (b) $ v_{dq}^* $, $ i_{dq} ^2$ and i_{du} , with the robust tuner disabled.	48
Fig. 3.5. Simulation results of (a) V_{smv} , ω_r , i_{ds} and i_{qs} ; (b) $ v_{dq}^* $, $ i_{dq} ^2$ and i_{du} , with the robust tuner enabled.	50
Fig. 3.6. Circuit configuration of the three-phase voltage-source inverter.	53
Fig. 3.7. The scaling and filtering circuits of i_{as} and i_{bs}	53
Fig. 3.8. The software configuration in the DSP controller.	55
Fig. 3.9. Measured trajectories of ω_r , i_{qs} , and I_{qs} bounds for the proposed control strategy operated in four-quadrant operation.	56
Fig. 3.10. The $i_{ds} - i_{qs}$ trajectory in the partial field weakening region (a) under heavy load; (b) under light load.	58

Fig. 3.11. Trajectories of (a) V_{dc} , V_{smv} , i_{ds} and i_{qs} ; (b) $|v_{dq}^*|$, $|i_{dq}|^2$ and i_{du} , due to variation of V_{dc} and with V_{dc} sensor.-----59

Fig. 3.12. Trajectories of (a) V_{dc} , V_{smv} , i_{ds} and i_{qs} ; (b) $|v_{dq}^*|$, $|i_{dq}|^2$ and i_{du} , due to variation of V_{dc} and without V_{dc} sensor.-----60

Fig. 3.13. Experimental results of (a) V_{smv} , ω_r , i_{ds} and i_{qs} ; (b) $|v_{dq}^*|$, $|i_{dq}|^2$ and i_{du} , with the robust tuner disabled.-----61

Fig. 3.14. Experimental results of (a) V_{smv} , ω_r , i_{ds} and i_{qs} ; (b) $|v_{dq}^*|$, $|i_{dq}|^2$ and i_{du} , with the robust tuner enabled.-----63

Fig 4. 1. Configuration of the sliding-mode speed controller with adaptive lumped uncertainty observer.-----70

Fig. 4.2. Simulated trajectories of ω_r for step speed commands of 500, 1000, 1500 rpm, respectively.-----71

Fig. 4.3. Simulated trajectories of ω_r , i_{qs} , i_{ds} , s , i_{qf}^* , T_L and the expanded figure of ω_r for $t \in [0.49, 0.53]$, due to $\omega_r^* = 2100$ rpm and $T_L = 0.3$ Nm is applied at $t=0.5$ sec.-----73

Fig. 4.4. Simulated trajectories of ω_r , i_{ds} , i_{qs} and $I_{qs\max}$, due to $\omega_r^* = 2100$ rpm and $T_L = 0.3$ Nm.-----75

Fig. 4.5. Simulated trajectories of ω_r , i_{ds} and the expanded figure of ω_r for $t \in [0.49, 0.9]$, due to $\omega_r^* = 2100$ rpm and a pulse $T_L = 0.3$ Nm is applied.-----76

Fig. 4.6. Simulated trajectories of ω_r , i_{ds} , i_{qs} and $I_{qs\max}$ for step command

$\omega_r^* = 2400\text{rpm}$ and $T_L = 0.3\text{ Nm}$.-----77

Fig. 4.7. Simulated trajectories of ω_r , i_{qs} , i_{ds} and s , due to step $\omega_r^* = 1200\text{rpm}$ at

$t=0,0.5\text{ sec}$.-----78

Fig. 4.8. Simulated trajectories of ω_r , i_{qs} and $I_{qs\max}$, for both (J_0, B_0) and

$(3J_0, 3B_0)$.-----79

Fig. 4.9. Trajectories of ω_r^* , ω_r , \hat{f} , i_{ds} and i_{qs} , due to 1200 rpm step speed

command and 0.3 Nm pulse load torque applied.-----82

Fig. 4.10. Expanded trajectories of ω_r^* , ω_r , \hat{f} , i_{ds} and i_{qs} , due to 1200 rpm step

speed command and 0.3 Nm pulse load torque applied.-----82

Fig. 4.11. Trajectories of ω_r^* , ω_r , \hat{f} , i_{ds} and i_{qs} , due to 2100 rpm step speed

command and 0.275 Nm pulse load torque applied.-----83

Fig. 4.12. Expanded trajectories of ω_r^* , ω_r , \hat{f} , i_{ds} and i_{qs} , due to 2100 rpm step

speed command and 0.275 Nm pulse load torque applied.-----83

Fig. 4.13. Trajectories of ω_r^* , ω_r , \hat{f} , i_{ds} and i_{qs} , due to $\omega_r^* = 1200\text{ rpm}$ at

$t = t_0, t_0 + 1\text{ sec}$.-----84

Fig. 4.14. Trajectories of ω_r^* , ω_r , \hat{f} , i_{ds} and i_{qs} for the proposed control strategy

operated in two quadrant operation.-----84

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. The parameters of the tested SMPMSM -----	12
--	----

