

Ch 9.7

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f(x; \lambda_1) > k f(x; \lambda_0) \\ r & \text{if } f(x; \lambda_1) = k f(x; \lambda_0) \\ 0 & \text{if } f(x; \lambda_1) < k f(x; \lambda_0) \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{f_0(x)}{f_1(x)} = \frac{\lambda_0^{\sum x_i} e^{-n\lambda_0}}{\lambda_1^{\sum x_i} e^{-n\lambda_1}} = e^{n(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1}\right)^{\sum x_i} \propto \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1}\right)^{\sum x_i}$$

$\because \lambda_1 > \lambda_0$

$$\therefore \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1}\right)^{\sum x_i} \in \downarrow \Rightarrow \frac{f_0(x)}{f_1(x)} < c \Leftrightarrow \sum x_i > c^*$$

So we reject H_0 when $\sum x_i > c^*$

且其中 r 滿足 $E(\phi(x)) = \alpha$

$$c^* \text{ 滿足 } c^* = \min_c \left\{ c \mid c \in \mathbb{Z}, \sum_{k=c}^{\infty} \frac{(n\lambda_0)^k e^{-n\lambda_0}}{k!} + r \cdot \frac{(n\lambda_0)^{(c+1)} e^{-n\lambda_0}}{(c+1)!} = \alpha \right\}$$

$\sum x_i \sim \text{Po}(n\lambda_0)$ under H_0

Ch 9.8

from 9.7, $\forall \lambda_1 > \lambda_0$, reject

By Neyman-Pearson Lemma, $\forall \lambda_1, \lambda_0$ s.t. $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0$

the test $H_0: \lambda = \lambda_0$ vs $H_1: \lambda = \lambda_1$

$$R = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid \sum x_i > c^* \}$$

\Rightarrow is uniformly

most powerful for testing

且 rejection region 和 λ_1 無關

$$\begin{cases} H_0: \lambda = \lambda_0 \\ H_1: \lambda > \lambda_0 \end{cases}$$

Ch 9.29

$\because g$ is a monotone-increasing function.

$$\therefore T > t_0 \Leftrightarrow g(T) > g(t_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(S > g(t_0) | H_0) = P(T > t_0 | H_0) = \alpha$$

5. $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f$, $i=1, 2, \dots, n$

Test: $H_0: f=f_0$ v.s. $H_A: f=f_1$, $f_0 \sim \text{Poi}(1)$, $f_1 \sim \text{Geo}(\frac{1}{2})$

$$\text{Let } \lambda(\underline{x}) = \frac{f_0(\underline{x})}{f_1(\underline{x})} \propto \frac{e^{-n}}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}} < c$$

The MP test level $\alpha=0.05$ test for $H_0: f=f_0$ v.s. $H_A: f=f_1$

is given by
$$\phi(\underline{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda(\underline{x}) < c \\ r, & \text{if } \lambda(\underline{x}) = c \\ 0, & \text{if } \lambda(\underline{x}) > c \end{cases}$$

Where c and r is determined by

$$E_{H_0}(\phi) = P[\lambda(\underline{x}) < c | H_0] + r P[\lambda(\underline{x}) = c | H_0] = \alpha = 0.05$$

Ch 9

30.

$$(a) \text{ P-value: } V(t_{\text{obs}}) = \Pr [T > t_{\text{obs}} \mid H_0 \text{ is true}] \\ = 1 - F(t_{\text{obs}})$$

* That t_{obs} is observed value from T , so $V = 1 - F(T)$

(b)

∴ under H_0 , $F(T)$ is the cdf of T , so $F(T) \sim U(0,1)$
the continuous r.v.
 and $V = 1 - F(T) \sim U(0,1)$, under H_0 .
also

$$(c) \text{ P} [V > 0.1 \mid H_0 \text{ is true}] \\ = \text{P} [U(0,1) > 0.1 \mid H_0] \quad \leftarrow \text{(by (b)) } V \sim U(0,1) \\ = 0.9$$

$$(d) \text{ P} [\text{rejected } H_0 \mid H_0 \text{ is true}] \\ = \text{P} [V < \alpha \mid H_0] = \text{P} [U(0,1) < \alpha \mid H_0] = \alpha$$

∴ the test $V < \alpha$ has significant level α .

✱

HW 12.

6. $\because f(x|\theta) = \exp(-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum x_i - n \log \theta) \in$ one-parameter exponential family

$T(X) = \sum x_i$, $c(\theta) = -\frac{1}{\theta}$ is strictly monotone increasing func. of θ .

By L.N. CH9 P.22 Thm. 1.3.

The α -level UMP-test $H_0: \theta \geq \theta_0$ vs $H_1: \theta < \theta_0$

is given by $\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & T(X) = \sum x_i < c \\ 0 & \sum x_i > c \end{cases}$

α can be determined by

$$E_{\theta_0}(\phi(X)) = P_{\theta_0}(\sum x_i < c) = \alpha. \quad \because \sum x_i \sim \mathcal{P}(n, \frac{1}{\theta_0}) \Rightarrow \frac{2}{\theta_0} \sum x_i \sim \mathcal{P}(\frac{2n}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \\ \equiv \chi^2_{(2n)}$$

$$\therefore P_{\theta_0}(\frac{2}{\theta_0} \sum x_i < \frac{2}{\theta_0} c) = \alpha \Rightarrow c = \frac{\theta_0}{2} \chi^2_{(2n)}$$

7.

$$\frac{1}{2} Y = X_1 + X_2 + X_3.$$

$$P(Y) = \binom{2}{y} \exp(y \ln \frac{p}{1-p} + 3 \ln(1-p))$$

$T(X) = Y$, $c(\theta) = \ln \frac{p}{1-p}$ is strictly monotone increasing function of p .

The α -level UMPU test for

$$H_0: p = 0.25 \quad \text{vs} \quad p \neq 0.25$$

TS given by

$$\phi(\underline{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & T(\underline{x}) < c_1, T(\underline{x}) > c_2 \\ y_i & T(\underline{x}) = c_i, i=1,2 \\ 0 & c_1 < T(\underline{x}) < c_2 \end{cases}$$

\Rightarrow We take $c_1 = 0, c_2 = 3$. y_1, y_2 can be determined by

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} E_{p=0.25}(\phi(\underline{x})) &= y_1 p(Y=0) + y_2 p(Y=3) = 0.05 \end{aligned} \right.$$

$$E_{p=0.25}(\phi(T) \cdot T) = y_1 \times 0 \times p(Y=0) + y_2 \times 3 \times p(Y=3) = 0.05 \times \frac{3}{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow y_2 = \frac{5}{8} = 0.625, \quad y_1 = \frac{0.0475}{0.42} \approx 0.1131.$$