

Contents

Abstract	I
Acknowledgement	III
Contents	IV
List of Figures	VII
List of Tables	XVI
 Chapter 1 Introduction	 1
1.1 Motivation	1
1.2 Outline of the Thesis	4
 Chapter 2 Background	 5
2.1 Principles Perpendicular Recording	5
2.1.1 Introduction	5
2.1.2 Basic Concepts of Perpendicular Recording	5
2.1.3 Perpendicular Anisotropy and Thermal stability	6
2.1.4 Magnetic Properties of PMR	8
2.1.5 Basic Recording Mechanism of PMR	9
2.2.6 Requirements of high density PMR	10
2.2 Magnetic Recording Layer (RL)	11
2.2.1 CoCrPt media	13
2.2.2 Granular CoCrPtO media	20
2.2.3 Granular CoPtCr-SiO ₂ media	23
2.3 Recording Performance of Granular PMR	28
2.3.1 Origin of Media Noise form Layers	28
2.3.2 Influence of Magnetic Cluster Size	29

2.3.3 Inter-granular Interactions	31
2.3.3.1 Examining form Magnetic Loop	32
2.3.3.2 Remanence Coercivity	33
2.3.3.3 M measurement and SFD	35
Chapter 3 Experimental and Analysis Technique	38
3.1 Experimental Flowing Chart	38
3.2 Ultra-High Vacuum Sputtering System (UHV)	39
3.2.1 Specification of UHV System	39
3.3 Analysis Technique	41
3.3.1 Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM)	41
3.3.2 Perpendicular Magneto-Optical Kerr Effect Meter	42
3.3.3 X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)	43
3.3.4 TEM and EDS	44
3.3.5 Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM)	45
3.3.6 Curvature Measurement	46
3.4 Read and Write Test	47
Chapter 4 Results and Discussions	48
4.1 Effects of Different Intermediate Layers on PMR	50
4.1.1 Pt/Ru/CoPtCr-SiO ₂ Media	51
4.1.1.1 Effect of CoPtCr-SiO ₂ recording layer thickness	51
4.1.1.2 Effect of working pressure of CoPtCr-SiO ₂	53
4.1.1.3 Effect of Ru seedlayer thickness	53
4.1.1.4 Effect of Pt underlayer thickness	55
4.1.1.5 Ultra-Clean Sputter Process	55
4.1.2 Ta/Pt/Ru/CoPtCr-SiO ₂ Media	56

4.1.2.1 Effect of Ta Buffer-layer Thickness	57
4.1.2.2 Effect of CoPtCr-SiO ₂ recording layer Thickness	58
4.1.2.3 Substrate Effect on PMR	59
4.1.3 Tb/Pt/Ru/CoPtCr-SiO ₂ Media	61
4.1.4 Comparison of these three media	61
4.1.4.1 Stress examination of the media	63
4.1.4.2 Microstructure observation	65
4.2 Effects of Working Pressure of Ru Seedlayer	68
4.2.1 Effect on Pt/Ru/CoPtCr-SiO ₂ media	68
4.2.2 Stress Effect on Ta/Pt/Ru/CoPtCr-SiO ₂ media	71
4.3 Full-Stacked Structure	76
4.3.1 Media with Ta/NiFe ₂₀ /CoFe ₉₀ /IrMn/CoFe ₉₀ SUL	76
4.3.2 Media with Ta/NiFe ₂₀ /CoFe ₉₀ /IrMn/CoFe ₉₀ N SUL	78
4.3.3 R/W Test	80
4.3.3.1 PMR without SUL	80
4.3.3.2 Full-Stacked PMR	80
4.3.3.3 SNR result	83
4.4 100 Gb/in² PMR	85
 Chapter 5 Summary	 86
 References	 88

List of Figures

Fig. 2.1 The configurations for longitudinal media and perpendicular media	6
Fig. 2.2 Effect of demagnetization field on perpendicular thin film media.	7
Fig. 2.3 Comparisons of the anisotropy field of various recording materials.	7
Fig. 2.4 H_c versus $4\pi M_s$ plot for various perpendicular recording media.	8
Fig. 2.5 The position of nucleation field in a M-H loop.	9
Fig. 2.6 Scheme of perpendicular recording.	10
Fig. 2.7 Whole structure of full-stacked perpendicular media	11
Fig. 2.8 An illustration of the mirror-imaging by an ideal SUL.	12
Fig. 2.9 H_c in compositional diagram of CoCrPt ternary alloy films with 30nm thickness on Ti under-layer.	14
Fig. 2.10 H_c and SQ for nonannealed and annealed media with stacking structure of cap-CoCrPt-under.	17
Fig. 2.11 Schematic diagram of the epitaxial relationships in longitudinal (left) and perpendicular media (right).	17
Fig. 2.12 Cross-sectional TEM images of a CoCrPt fabricated at 330 °C. (a) Overall image (b) Magnified lattice image of a portion in which the (11.0) plane can be observed. The mark indicates a SF.	18
Fig. 2.13 SF density as a function of temperature for magnetic film fabrication.	18
Fig. 2.14 Anisotropy constant K_u vs SF density.	18

- Fig. 2.15** Hc and Hn dependence on oxygen gas ratio in the sputtering gas. 20
- Fig. 2.16** Kerr loops for the samples deposited with various oxygen ratios. 20
- Fig. 2.17** TEM image of the low noise CoCrPtO media. The electron diffraction pattern indicates a strong c axis out-of-plane alignment. 21
- Fig. 2.18** X-ray diffraction patterns of CoCrPtO media at varying Ru thickness. 21
- Fig. 2.19** Grain size distributions of CoCrPtO media with 24nm Ru interlayer. 21
- Fig. 2.20** SNR versus linear density for CoCrPtO and CoCrPtB media. 23
- Fig. 2.21** TAA as a function of linear density for CoCrPtB and CoCrPtO. 23
- Fig. 2.22** Values of Hc as a function of SiO₂ content with various film thicknesses. 23
- Fig. 2.23** Value of Ku as a function of SiO₂ content. 23
- Fig. 2.24** TEM image of 11.2 at% SiO₂ content of CoPtCr-SiO₂ media. 24
- Fig. 2.25** HR-TEM image of the cross section of CoPtCr-SiO₂ media. 24
- Fig 2.26** Dependence of perpendicular magnetic hysteresis curves for samples with Ru layers deposited at 5, 10, and 20 mTorr. 25
- Fig. 2.27** Surface morphologies of 30nm Ru films deposited at various sputtering pressures. Rootmean-square (RMS) roughness as

a function of Ar pressure.	25
Fig. 2.28 Dependence of K of the CoPtCr-SiO ₂ media on the magnetic layer thickness.	26
Fig. 2.29 Dependence of H _c and Mr /Ms of the CoPtCr-SiO ₂ media on the magnetic layer thickness.	26
Fig. 2.30 Roll-off curve of 12nm CoPtCr-SiO ₂ media and 30nm CoCrPtB media.	27
Fig. 2.31 Recording density dependence of SNR of two different media.	27
Fig. 2.32 Noise source model for perpendicular recording media.	28
Fig. 2.33 (a) Surface of a medium in the ac-erased state was observed. (b) Reversed domains were fitted using circles. (c) Circles were statistically totaled, and the average value of circles was defined as the magnetic cluster size.	30
Fig. 2.34 H _c dependence of 8 nm CoPtCr-SiO ₂ media on the value of N _z -N _y . N _z and N _y are the z- and y-direction demagnetization factors, respectively.	30
Fig. 2.35 Recording density dependence of SNR and normalized media noise for various magnetic cluster sizes.	31
Fig. 2.36 Magnetic cluster size dependence of normalized media noise and SNR at the recording density of 200 kfc.i.	31
Fig. 2.37 Loop slope parameter,	32
Fig. 2.38 M-H loops as a function of exchange coupling field	32
Fig. 2.39 Loop slope parameter, as a function of D _{cluster} / for the CoPrCr-SiO ₂ media and [Co/Pt] _n /NiAl superlattice media.	33
Fig. 2.40 Typical remanence curves of CoCr based perpendicular	

media.	33
Fig. 2.41 The dependence of H_0/H_k of the CoCrPt-(Ta, B) media on the magnetic layer thickness.	34
Fig. 2.42 (left) Reversal of grains for different applied field ($h = 0:05$).The dashed line denotes the region where the external field is applied. Dark grey indicates reversed grains. (right) Time evolution of the z and x component of the magnetization.	34
Fig. 2.43 (a) DCD recoil loops (b) DCD curve with and without correction as well as SFD. The solid line is fitting as the log-normal distribution.	36
Fig. 2.44 (a) IRM recoil loops (b) IRM curve and M curve after demagnetization correction.	36
Fig. 2.45 Thickness dependence of the ΔM for the CoCrPt alloy.	37
Fig. 2.46 ΔM dependence of the effective N (solid line) and the SFD (dashed line)	37
Fig. 3.1 Main growth chamber and loading chamber of UHV system.	39
Fig. 3.2 Load lock system of UHV system.	40
Fig. 3.3 Special mechanism of UHV system.	40
Fig. 3.4 The Feature of VSM.	41
Fig. 3.5 Schematic illustration of VSM.	42
Fig. 3.6 The apparatus and the theory of PMOKE.	42
Fig. 3.7 XRD spectrometer.	43
Fig. 3.8 Curvature measurement.	46
Fig. 3.9 Standard post-processing of commercial disks.	47

Fig. 4.1 The magnetic performance of altering the SiO ₂ content.	49
Fig. 4.2 The XRD profile of CoPtCr-SiO ₂ (100±5 nm).	50
Fig. 4.3 Film structure of PRM.	51
Fig. 4.4 The dependence on magnetic layer thickness.	52
Fig. 4.5 Media noise dependent on magnetic layer thickness.	52
Fig. 4.6 XRD profiles of various thickness of recording layer.	52
Fig. 4.7 VSM result of various thickness of recording layer.	52
Fig. 4.8 XRD profiles of working pressure effect on recording layer.	53
Fig. 4.9 VSM result of working pressure effect on recording layer.	53
Fig. 4.10 XRD profiles of thickness variation of Ru seedlayer.	54
Fig. 4.11 VSM result of thickness variation of Ru seedlayer.	54
Fig. 4.12 Dependence of perpendicular H _c on Ru underlayer thickness.	54
Fig. 4.13 XRD profiles of thickness variation of Pt underlayer.	55
Fig. 4.14 VSM result of thickness variation of Pt underlayer.	55
Fig. 4.15 Magnetic Performance comparisons with different vacuum.	56
Fig. 4.16 Inserting Ta buffer-layer into perpendicular recording media.	56
Fig. 4.17 AFM images and grain size distribution of these two media.	57
Fig. 4.18 XRD profiles of thickness variation of Ta buffer-layer.	57
Fig. 4.19 VSM result of thickness variation of Ta buffer-layer.	57
Fig. 4.20 VSM result of thickness variation of CoPtCr-SiO ₂ recording	

layer.	58
Fig. 4.21 M-H loop of Ta(3nm)/Pt(7nm)/Ru(30nm)/CoPtCr-SiO ₂ (20nm).	58
Fig. 4.22 Magnetic property of Ta(3nm)/Pt(7nm)/Ru(30nm)/CoPtCr-SiO ₂ (Xnm).	59
Fig. 4.23 XRD profiles of these films deposited on different substrates	60
Fig. 4.24 VSM result of these films deposited on different substrates	60
Fig. 4.25 VSM result of these two films deposited on glass and textured-AlMg substrate.	60
Fig. 4.26 Magnetic property these two films deposited on glass and textured-AlMg substrate.	60
Fig. 4.27 Inserting Tb buffer-layer into perpendicular recording media.	61
Fig. 4.28 M-H loop of the media with different underlayers (perpendicular).	62
Fig. 4.29 M-H loop of the media with different underlayers (in-plane).	62
Fig. 4.30 XRD profiles of the media with different underlayers.	63
Fig. 4.31 C-axis distribution of the media with different underlayers.	63
Fig. 4.32 Curvature of single Tb and Ta layer.	64
Fig. 4.33 Curvature dependence of different media.	64
Fig. 4.34 M-H loop of different media with (a) tensile stress and (b) compressive stress.	65
Fig. 4.35 TEM cross-sectional images of different media with (a)	

tensile stress and (b) compressive stress.	65
Fig. 4.36 Plane-view TEM images and grain size distribution of these three media.	66
Fig. 4.37 EDS analysis at grain boundary and within grain of these three media.	67
Fig. 4.38 XRD profiles of working pressure effect on Ru seedlayer.	68
Fig. 4.39 VSM result of working pressure effect on Ru seedlayer.	68
Fig. 4.40 Dependences of Hc and roughness on working pressure of Ru seedlayer.	69
Fig. 4.41 Plane-view TEM images and grain size distribution of working pressure effect on Ru seedlayer	70
Fig. 4.42 Cross-sectional TEM images of working pressure effect on Ru	70
Fig. 4.43 EDX result of working pressure effect on Ru seedlayer.	71
Fig. 4.44 Lattice mismatch of working pressure effect on Ru seedlayer.	71
Fig. 4.45 Coercivity dependence of working pressure of Ru seedlayer.	72
Fig. 4.46 The dependence of c-axis distribution and anisotropy orientation of Ru working pressure.	72
Fig. 4.47 XRD profile of increasing working pressure of Ru seedlayer.	73
Fig. 4.48 Curvature measurement of the films with increasing Ru working pressure.	73

Fig. 4.49 DCD curve of increasing Ru working pressure.	75
Fig. 4.50 Normalized SFD profiles of increasing Ru working pressure.	75
Fig. 4.51 Standard deviation of SFD while increasing Ru working pressure.	75
Fig. 4.52 The morphology of roughness analyzed by AFM with respect to Ru working pressure.	75
Fig. 4.53 MH loops of these films with and without CoFe soft underlayer by PMOKE.	77
Fig. 4.54 XRD profiles of these films with and without CoFe soft underlayer.	77
Fig. 4.55 In-plane TEM image of Al-Mg substrate/Ta/NiFe ₂₀ /CoFe ₉₀ /IrMn/CoFe ₉₀ /Ta/Pt/Ru/CoPtCr-SiO ₂ .	77
Fig. 4.56 Grain size distribution of Al-Mg substrate/Ta/NiFe ₂₀ /CoFe ₉₀ /IrMn/CoFe ₉₀ /Ta/Pt/Ru/CoPtCr-SiO ₂ .	77
Fig. 4.57 Cross-sectional TEM image of Al-Mg substrate/Ta/NiFe ₂₀ /CoFe ₉₀ /IrMn/CoFe ₉₀ /Ta/Pt/Ru/CoPtCr-SiO ₂ .	77
Fig. 4.58 MH loops of these films with and without CoFeN soft underlayer by PMOKE.	78
Fig. 4.59 XRD profiles of these films with and without CoFeN soft underlayer.	78
Fig. 4.60 In-plane TEM image of Al-Mg substrate/Ta/NiFe ₂₀ /CoFe ₉₀ /IrMn/CoFe ₉₀ N/Ta/Pt/Ru/CoPtCr-SiO ₂ .	78
Fig. 4.61 Grain size distribution of Al-Mg substrate/Ta/NiFe ₂₀ /CoFe ₉₀ /IrMn/CoFe ₉₀ N/Ta/Pt/Ru/CoPtCr-SiO ₂ .	78
Fig. 4.62 Cross-sectional TEM image of Al-Mg substrate/Ta/NiFe ₂₀ /CoFe ₉₀ /IrMn/CoFe ₉₀ N/Ta/Pt/Ru/CoPtCr-SiO ₂ .	79

Fig. 4.63 PMOKE results of as-deposited film and the one after post-annealing with CoFe soft underlayer	79
Fig. 4.64 PMOKE results of as-deposited film and the one after post-annealing with CoFeN soft underlayer	79
Fig. 4.65 MFM image of textured Al-Mg/Ta/Pt/Ru/CoPtCr-SiO ₂	80
Fig. 4.66 MFM image of textured Al-Mg substrate/Ta/NiFe ₂₀ /CoFe ₉₀ /IrMn/CoFe ₉₀ /Ta/Pt/Ru/CoPtCr-SiO ₂ .	81
Fig. 4.67 MFM image of textured Al-Mg substrate/Ta/NiFe ₂₀ /IrMn/CoFe ₉₀ /Ta/Pt/Ru/CoPtCr-SiO ₂ .	81
Fig. 4.68 MFM image of textured Al-Mg substrate/Ta/NiFe ₂₀ /IrMn/CoFe ₉₀ N/Ta/Pt/Ru/CoPtCr-SiO ₂ .	82
Fig. 4.69 Cross-sectional MFM image Fig. 4.65.	83
Fig. 4.70 Linear recording density of full-stacked medium tested at different frequencies.	83
Fig. 4.71 Recording density dependence of SNR for different media	84

List of Figures

Table 2.1 Comparisons of different perpendicular media.	13
Table 2.2 Achievement of every corporation in perpendicular media.	16
Table 2.3 Effects of Ru thickness of CoCrPtO media.	21
Table 2.4 Residual stress induced on the films during the sputtering.	22
Table 2.5 Parametric data for best alloy media and oxide media tested at 560 kfc.	22
Table 4.1 Curvature and stress results of different media.	64
Table 4.2 Residual stress induced on the films during the sputtering.	64
Table 4.3 Curvature and stress dependence of increasing working pressure	74
Table 4.4 Compare our optimal performance with the simulation result announced by Seagate Storage Center in 2002.	85